

# **KINGS LAW REPORTS**

## **(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)**

**(2007) KLR VOL 3 PART 232 pp. 1261 - 1434**

**MARCH 2007**

**Dedicated to the King of kings**

**O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor**

## **INDEX OF CASES REPORTED**

### **MARCH CONTD.**

7. Dibia v. State p. 1261
8. Edjekpo v. Osia p. 1291
9. Lufadeju v. Johnson p. 1327
10. Maikyo v. Itodo p. 1363
11. Mohammed v. State p. 1383
12. Ogedengbe v. Balogun p. 1393
13. Okafor v. Nweke p. 1419

**ii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2007) 3 KLR**

APPEALS - Competence - Motion for extension of time - And other processes - That were not properly issued and signed by a legal practitioner - Are struck out as incompetent (H4) Okafor v. Nweke p. 1419

APPEALS - Grounds of appeal - Objection - Raised before Court of Appeal - Against the 2nd ground - As not being proper - Is of no consequence - Though lower court handled it erroneously (H1) Edjekpo v. Osia p. 1291

APPEALS - Retrial - Factors that necessitate order of retrial - Which include substantial irregularity - Do not apply to this case (H5) Ogedengbe v. Balogun p. 1393

APPEALS - Retrial - Land law - Where the entire evidence called by a party - Is missing in the compiled record of appeal - Retrial is the proper order (H3) Edjekpo v. Osia p. 1291

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Presumption of innocence - S. 33(5) 1979 Constitution - Where accused was merely remanded in custody by magistrate - Without any plea - The presumption is not removed thereby (H5) Lufadeju v. Johnson p. 1327

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Trial - Fair trial within a reasonable time - S. 33(4) 1979 Constitution - Is not fettered by s. 236 (3) CPL - As what is reasonable time is subjective (H4) Lufadeju v. Johnson p. 1327

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Armed robbery - Link with the offence - Is established - As accused persons were convicted - On their voluntary confessional statements (H4) Dibia v. State p. 1261

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Armed robbery - Proof - Confessional statements - Were rightly relied upon - After finding corroboration though corroboration is not mandatory - Case was proved beyond reasonable doubt (H3) Dibia v. State p. 1261

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Arraignment - Propriety of - Armed robbery - Where the record shows that appellants - Made their pleas in English that they clearly understood - Their arraignment is proper under s. 215 CPL (H1) Dibia v. State p. 1261

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Arraignment - Validity - Remand proceedings s. 236(3) CPL - Was what the magistrate/appellant handled - For all the requirements of a valid arraignment - Were not present in this case (H2) Lufadeju v. Johnson p. 1327

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Charges - Remand in custody - Where accused was charged with treasonable felony - His production before a magistrate that has no jurisdiction - Falls under s. 78(b) and not s. 215 CPL - Hence no plea was taken (H1) Lufadeju v. Johnson p. 1327

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Constitution - Conflict - Right to personal liberty s. 32 1979 Constitution - S. 236(2) CPL that provides for remand in custody - Is not in conflict with the Constitution (H3) Lufadeju v. Johnson p. 1327

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Contradictions - Materiality of - Where the contradictions are trivial discrepancies - That did not go to the root of the charge - Lower courts' finding will not be disturbed (H2) Dibia v. State p. 1261

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - No case submission - Appeals - Trial de novo - Mere fact of need to begin the trial afresh - Will not invalidate pending appeal - Which if it succeeds will terminate the trial de novo (H1) Mohammed v. State p. 1383

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Prima facie case - Consideration of - Court is bound to confine itself - To evidence tendered in court - And not statements contained in the proof of evidence (H2) Mohammed v. State

**iv INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2007) 3 KLR**

p. 1383

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Prima facie case - No case submission - There is sufficient evidence in this case - To justify lower court's finding - That prima facie case is made out against appellant (H3) Mohammed v. State p. 1383

EVIDENCE - Title - Witnesses - Inconsistency - Credibility - Where there is no material contradiction - Assessment of credibility by trial court - Will not be disturbed by appellate court (H4) Ogedengbe v. Balogun p. 1393

LAND LAW - Evidence - Incomplete court record - Testimony of some defence witnesses - Where not forwarded to appellate court - It cannot know whether trial court's evaluation - Was properly done (H2) Edjekpo v. Osia p. 1291

LAND LAW - Survey plan - Title - Where plaintiffs' evidence of identity of the land - Was not challenged - And the identity of the land is known to the parties - A plan is not necessary (H3) Ogedengbe v. Balogun p. 1393

LAND LAW - Title - Boundaries - Onus is on plaintiff to prove the boundaries - And failure would ground a dismissal of the claim (H2) Ogedengbe v. Balogun p. 1393

LAND LAW - Title - Claim to - Must be to a defined area with certainty - Test being whether a surveyor - Can produce an accurate plan from the record (H1) Ogedengbe v. Balogun p. 1393

LEGAL PRACTITIONERS - Court processes - Competence - Signature - A firm of solicitors - Not being a registered legal practitioner - Motions and processes signed by it - Are incompetent (H2) Okafor v. Nweke p. 1419

LEGAL PRACTITIONERS - Definition - Practice - Entitlement to - Avails

only a person whose name (not signature) is on the roll (H1) Okafor v. Nweke p. 1419

LEGAL PRACTITIONERS - Practice - High standards required - Must be maintained - Seeing that legal practice - Is a serious business for serious minds (H3) Okafor v. Nweke p. 1419

MASTER & SERVANT - Fair hearing - Misappropriation of funds - Retirement - Allegation that appellant was not given fair hearing - By the Investigation Committee before being retired - Has no foundation (H2) Maikyo v. Itodo p. 1363

MASTER & SERVANT - Retirement - Decision to retire appellant - Is based on the Military Administrator's directive - Pursuant to s.1 Public Officers Act (H1) Maikyo v. Itodo p. 1363

TRIBUNALS - Employee - Allegation of a criminal nature - Falling within statutory jurisdiction of the appropriate authority - Was properly determined - Without prior recourse to court (H3) Maikyo v. Itodo p. 1363

## **INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES**

African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights, Art. 7(1)(b) & (d)  
Lufadeju v. Johnson p. 1327

Constitution of Nigeria 1979, ss. 32, 33 Lufadeju v. Johnson p. 1327

Court of Appeal Rules O. 3 R. 2(1)-(4) Edjekpo v. Osia p. 1291

Criminal Procedure Act Cap. C41 LFN 2004, s. 286 Mohammed v. State  
p. 1383

Criminal Procedure Law Cap 32 Vol 2 Laws of Lagos State, 1994, ss.  
236(2) & (3), 78, 215, 118(1) & (2) Lufadeju v. Johnson p. 1327

Criminal Procedure Law of the Bendel State s. 215 Dibie v. State p. 1261

Evidence Act s. 149(d) Maikyo v. Itodo p. 1363

Fundamental Rights (Enforcement Procedure) Rules 1979, O. 1 rr. 2 &  
6 Lufadeju v. Johnson p. 1327

Public Officers (Special Provisions) Act 1990 Ss. 1(1) & 3(3-4) Maikyo  
v. Itodo p. 1363